

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,295	03/03/2004	Yoshinobu Suehiro	PTGF-03109	3532		
21254	21254 7590 01/31/2006			EXAMINER		
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			ARENA, ANDREW OWENS			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		2811			
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2000	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/791,295	SUEHIRO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew O. Arena	2811 .			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 N	ovember 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowar					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-25</u> is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2004</u> is/are: a)	oxtimes accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	·	ived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/2004</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,295 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-11) in the reply filed on 11/23/2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: The numeral 4 denoting the claim is unclear. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation "positioning the light-transmitting portion to the electrode" on lines 13-14 is incorrect grammar. An appropriate correction is "positioning the light-transmitting portion adjacent to the electrode". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Juestel et al. (JP Pub 2002-223008) hereinafter Juestel.

Art Unit: 2811

6. A machine translation from the JPO website of the Juestel reference is included with this office action and referred to for rejection purposes.

Page 3

7. Regarding claim 1, Juestel discloses (Drawing 1) a light emitting apparatus (1), comprising:

a semiconductor light emitting element (3) that emits light with a predetermined wavelength ([0027] ln 5);

a light-transmitting portion (6; [0024] In 1-3) that includes a recess (at 2) to house the semiconductor light emitting element (3), the light-transmitting portion being of a light-transmitting material ([0024] In 1-3); and

a phosphor layer portion (4; [0024] ln 5) that is thinly formed along the surface of the recess, the phosphor portion including a phosphor to be excited by irradiating light emitted from the semiconductor light emitting element (inherent in fluorescent substance, [0024] ln 5).

8. Further regarding claim 1, the product-by-process limitation "the light-transmitting portion being formed by molding the light-transmitting material" has not been given patentable weight. The case law establishing this precedent follows:

"Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

9. Regarding claim 2, Juestel discloses (Drawing 1) the light-transmitting portion has a light convergence shape to converge light (inherent in the convex lens shape 6) emitted from the light emitting element ([0024] In 1-3).

Art Unit: 2811

10. Regarding claim 4, Juestel discloses (Drawing 1) the recess is located close to the semiconductor light emitting element along the profile of the semiconductor light emitting element (apparent in Drawing 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juestel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Roberts et al. (US 6,335,548) hereinafter Roberts.
- 13. Regarding claim 3, Juestel discloses the semiconductor light emitting element is an LED element (abstract In 1-2) that emits light from its light emission surface located on the opposite side of its mounting surface (an LED inherently emits light from all surfaces). Juestel differs from the claimed invention only in not expressly disclosing "a flip-chip type LED." Roberts teaches the use of a flip-chip type LED (col 20 In 15-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the LED of Juestel a flip-chip type LED, as taught by Roberts; at least to extend operation (Roberts col 20 In 33-37).

Art Unit: 2811

14. Regarding claim 5, Juestel differs from the claimed invention only in not disclosing "a plurality of LED elements disposed in a predetermined arrangement." Roberts teaches (Fig 19) this limitation (col 29 ln 64-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Juestel by replacing the LED (3) with a plurality of LED elements disposed in a predetermined arrangement, as taught by Roberts; at least to produce light of any color desired (col 30 ln 12-20).

Page 5

- 15. Regarding claim 6, Juestel differs from the claimed invention only in not disclosing "a plurality of LED elements with different emission wavelengths disposed in a predetermined arrangement." Roberts teaches (Fig 19) this limitation (col 29 ln 64-65, col 30 ln 12-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Juestel by replacing the LED (3) with a plurality of LED elements with different emission wavelengths disposed in a predetermined arrangement, as taught by Roberts; at least to produce light of any color desired (col 30 ln 12-20).
- 16. Claims 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juestel in view of Roberts.
- 17. Examiner notes that Juestel is silent as to how contact to the light emitting apparatus is made. Roberts discloses (Fig 19) a light emitting apparatus including connection leads (1901-1904). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the structure

Art Unit: 2811

(203, 204, 1901-1904) of Roberts below (flat portion of Drawing 1) and connected to the device of Juestel; for at least the purpose of allowing electrical connection to the apparatus of Juestel.

18. Regarding claim 7, Juestel discloses the structure of claim 1, therefore inherently disclosing the steps of:

preparing a light-transmitting portion (6) that includes a recess (at 2) to house the semiconductor light emitting element (3), the light-transmitting portion being of a light-transmitting material ([0024] In 1-3) and the recess being formed by molding [shaping] the light-transmitting material, the recess being provided with a phosphor layer (4; [0024] In 5) that is thinly formed along the surface of the recess;

19. Further regarding claim 7, the combined device of Juestel and Roberts discloses (Roberts: Fig 19b; col 25-26):

forming an electrode (1902) of metal material (col 25 In 6-11);

mounting (col 26 In 17-19) the semiconductor light emitting element on the electrode;

positioning the light-transmitting portion to the electrode (col 26 ln 17-19); and bonding the light-transmitting portion onto the electrode (col 26 ln 21-29) such that the phosphor layer of the recess surrounds the semiconductor light emitting element (Juestel: Drawing 1).

20. Regarding claim 9, the combined device of Juestel and Roberts discloses (Roberts Fig 19b) the electrode is a lead electrode (1902; col 30 ln 6-7) provided on

Art Unit: 2811

(interpreted as indirectly on through intermediate surface 203) the surface of a submount member (204) of high thermal conductivity (col 10 ln 47, 54).

- 21. Regarding claim 10, the combined device of Juestel and Roberts discloses (Roberts Fig 19b) the electrode is a copper-foil electrode (col 12 ln 28-29, 41-42, foil broadly interpreted to include 1902) provided through an insulation layer (203; col 9 ln 6, encapsulant inherently is an insulator or device would be shorted and not function) on the [side] surface of a base member (204) of high thermal conductivity (col 10 ln 47, 54).
- 22. Regarding claim 11, the combined device of Juestel and Roberts differs from the claimed invention only in not expressly disclosing "a flip-chip type LED." Roberts teaches the use of a flip-chip type LED (col 20 ln 15-37) and discloses the semiconductor light emitting element is flip-chip bonded onto the electrode (col 20 ln 24-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the LED of the combined device of Juestel and Roberts a flip-chip type LED, as taught by Roberts; at least to extend operation (Roberts col 20 ln 33-37).
- 23. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juestel in view of Roberts as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Mueller et al. (US 6,417,019) hereinafter Mueller.
- 24. Regarding claim 8, the combined device of Juestel and Roberts discloses the phosphor layer is formed on the surface of the recess formed by molding (Juestel: 4; [0024] In 5). The combined device of Juestel and Roberts differs from the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/791,295 Page 8

Art Unit: 2811

invention only in not expressly disclosing "spraying a phosphor material on the surface of the recess after forming the recess." Mueller teaches spraying a phosphor material (col 7 ln 19-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to spray the phosphor material, as taught by Mueller, on the surface of the recess of Juestel after forming the recess; at least to utilize a known phosphor layer deposition method (Mueller: col 7 ln 19-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew O. Arena whose telephone number is (571) 272-5976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDDIE LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TERREDLOSM CENTER 2800